Agenda item 4.1 (a) Paragraph 19 of the annotated agenda

Concept note Analysis of eligibility of shift from NRB to LPG under AMS-I.E. and AMS-II.G.

CDM EB 96 Bonn, Germany, 18 to 22 September 2017



UNFCCC Secretariat SDM programme

- EB93 mandated the SSC WG to prepare an analysis of potential areas of improvements of "AMS-I.E.: Switch from non-renewable biomass for thermal applications by the user" and "AMS-II.G.: Energy efficiency measures in thermal applications of non-renewable biomass" followed by actual proposals for revision of these methodologies.
- EB90 also requested the SSC WG to conduct further analysis regarding the eligibility of shift from non-renewable biomass (NRB) to low-carbon intensive fossil fuels such as liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) in AMS-I.E. and AMS-II.G.
- Some stakeholders and DNAs have requested development of new/revised methodologies for switching from NRB cookstoves to LPG cookstoves.



Purpose

 The purpose of this concept note is to analyse the issues associated with the potential inclusion of measures for shifting from NRB to LPG in AMS-I.E. and AMS-II.G. The analysis also takes into account other issues under consideration for the revision of these methodologies.



- Relevant CMP decisions related to cookstove methodologies
 - a) COP7 decided that the eligibility of land use, land-use change and forestry project activities under the CDM is limited to afforestation and reforestation;
 - b) The Board had approved the cookstove methodologies AMS-I.E and AMS-II.G in response to CMP decisions (EB37 report, para 26).
 - Approved cookstove methodologies are based on a projected baseline scenario that includes fossil fuels.
 - Currently the emission factor has a value of 81.6 t CO2/TJ.



- Climate impacts of fuels use for cooking
 - a) Climate impacts of NRB use for cooking depends on CO₂ and methane emitted. Other co-emitted gases and particles are currently not included under "Kyoto gases".
 - b) The total emissions from a cooking appliance depends mainly on the type and amount of fuel required (= cookstove efficiency).
 - c) Even when the assumed 50% renewable portion of CO₂ emission from solid biomass stoves are taken into account, LPG has a similar or even lower climate impacts than the most advance biomass stoves currently in the market.
 - Higher thermal efficiency of LPG stoves (45-60%) as compared to traditional and even improved/advanced biomass stoves (12-25%)



- Life Cycle Assessments of LPG vs. other cooking fuels
 - a) The recently published report (commissioned by KfW Development Bank) shows that switching to LPG in cookstoves would result in emissions decrease under certain conditions, even though LPG is a fossil fuel, based on the studies of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) conducted by US EPA.
 - In the LCA studies, emissions related to feedstock production, fuel processing, distribution and cookstove use were considered.
- Energy access projections
 - a) A growing number of countries are planning for scaling up LPG as a cooking fuel in the context of the SE4ALL and SDG 7.



- Conclusions
 - a) Even when a conservative fNRB factor is considered, shifting from NRB to LPG would result in emission reductions in many instances, because of a significant difference on efficiency between the biomass stoves and LPG stoves.
 - b) It would be useful to develop a new methodology which allows switching from NRB to LPG.



Impact

 The development of a new methodology for switching from NRB to LPG will broaden options for cleaner cooking, and it will facilitate the implementation of CDM project activities and CPAs in household cookstove sector, which have strong relevance for the LDCs and other regions that are underrepresented in the CDM.



 If the Board were to accept the proposed approach to quantify emission reductions for switching from NRB to LPG, the Meth Panel will continue further work to develop a new methodology, following the "procedure for development, revision and clarification of baseline and monitoring methodologies and methodological tools".



 The SSC WG recommended that the Board consider this concept note and provide guidance regarding development of a new methodology for switching from NRB to LPG.



Thank You



Agenda item 4.1

Paragraph 19 of the annotated agenda